

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,464	<u> </u>	04/22/2004	Noriaki Kodama	249-341	6417	
23117	7590	09/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC				GRAVINI, STE	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
1100 N GLE	BE ROA	D			,	
8TH FLOOI	₹			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N. VA	22201-4714		3749	200000	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
I		10/829,464	KODAMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stephen Gravini	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - External afternal	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July 2004</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	nt(s)	_						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040617</u> .	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Calton et al. (US 5,579,647). Calton is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a plurality of rotors **22** & **24** disposed in series, each of which is configured to carry an adsorbent thereon and is rotatably supported (wherein the disclosed desiccant is considered to anticipate the claimed adsorbent because remove moisture);

partition members which are arranged at outermost end portions of the rotors and between the rotors so as to partition a rotary zone of each rotor into an adsorption zone, a regeneration zone and a cooling zone (please see column 5 lines 51-65);

a driving member which rotatably drives the rotors (please see column 9 lines 31-36 and lines 44-51);

a supply passage which allows sucked air to pass through the adsorption zone to obtain dry air from which moisture and organic materials have been removed, and which supplies the dry air into the target space (please see column 10 lines 20-35); and

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

an exhaust passage which allows a portion of the dry air to pass through the cooling zone, then heats the cooled air, and then allows the heated air to pass through the regeneration zone to separate the moisture and the organic materials from the adsorbent thereby (please see column 15 lines 16-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calton in view of Ogasahara (US 5,242,473). Calton is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed settings. Ogasahara is considered to disclose circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed settings at column 4 lines 55-66. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Calton with the circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

settings, considered to be disclosed by Ogasahara, for the purpose of providing a sealing adsorbent desiccant relationship for an optimum rotational drying speed such that the regeneration and cooling of processed air is more effectively treated.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference C, cited in this action, is considered to disclose an adsorbent air treating process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 703 308 7570. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Smg 9/29/04

Steph on Sain